

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/712,590	11/13/2003	Michael A. Yandrasits	59390US002	8997		
32692	7590 03/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
3M INNOV	ATIVE PROPERTIES	MCCLENDON, SANZA L				
PO BOX 334 ST. PAUL.	127 MN 55133-3427	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
,			1711			
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/200:	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<i>I.D</i>			
			ication No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>			
Office Action Summary		10/7	12,590	YANDRASITS ET AL.				
		Exar	niner	Art Unit				
		Sanz	a L McClendon	1711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears o	n the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (i period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within ti tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause t	no event, however, may a he statutory minimum of thin and will expire SIX (6) MOI he application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this common BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status				× .				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 13 Novemb	per 2003.					
		2b) This action						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-22,28-32,36-51,57 are Claim(s) 4-6,23-27,33-35 and 52-56 Claim(s) are subject to restrict to the subject to restrict the subject the subject to restrict the subject the subject to restrict the subject the subjec</u>	are withdrawn from <u>nd 58</u> is/are rejected to is/are objected to	oted. to.					
Applicat	on Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 13 November Applicant may not request that any objected the country of the oath or declaration is objected the specific objected to by the specific object o	er 2003 is/are: a) ection to the drawing the correction is r	g(s) be held in abeya equired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	I.121(d).			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have documents have of the priority document (PC)	e been received. e been received in A cuments have beer  C Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ıge			
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) The of References Cited (PTO-892) The of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 1/05 and 4/04.		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15) 	2) < T n			
S. Patent and T	radamark Office				<u></u>			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-3, 7-22, 28-32, 36-51 and 57-58 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 and 23-43 of copending Application No. 10/733,211. 10/733,211 teaches methods of making crosslinked polymers wherein said polymer substantially overlap in scope with the instant invention. The primary difference in the methods is the form of radiation used in the crosslinking step (b)—electron beam in the instant invention and ultra-violet for 10/733,211. However, the examiner deems one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to prepare a crosslinked polymer using electron beam irradiation from the method of 10/733,211. The motivation would have been a reasonable expectation of obtaining a crosslinked membrane without residual photoinitiator, which are

known additives in ultraviolet radiation curing, in the final product in the absence of evidence to the contrary and/or unexpected results.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

3. Claims 1-10, 13-15, 18-20, 23-25, 28-39, 42-49, 52-54 and 57-58 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-38 of copending Application No. 10/712,361 in view of JP 54-052690. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they overlap in scope. The difference between the instant claims and the copending application is the defined second pendent groups and the backbone derived from tetrafluoroethylene in the instantly claimed polymer (a). However, the polymer of 10/712,361 is taught to be a highly fluorinated or more typically perifluorinated and the backbone may comprise unites from tetrafluoroethylene and units derived from comonomers. In addition 10/712,361 does not positively exclude a second pendent group, such as Br, Cl, or I. The prior art, such as JP 54-052690, teaches making similar highly fluorinated co-polymers using fluorinated monomer having SO2X groups, vinyl-ether monomers comprising halogens, such as iodine, and fluorinated olefins, such as trichlorotrifluorethlyene. Therefore it would have been obvious for an artisan to prepare crosslinked polymers comprising a highly fluorinated polymer such as taught by 10/712,361 having a tetrafluoroethylene backbone and having pendent SO2X groups and halogen groups, such as iodine from the teaching of JP 54.052690. The motivation would have been a reasonable expectation of obtaining a fluorine containing cation exchange membrane with improved properties and electrochemical properties, such as suggested by JP 54-052690.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-2, 7-9, 13-14, 28-31, 36-38 and 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asawa et al (JP 54-052690).

Asawa et al teaches improved fluorine-containing cation exchange membranes. Said membranes are obtained by casting and then crosslinking a fluoro-polymer using radiation. Said polymer is prepared by copolymerization of an iodine-containing vinyl-ether, a fluorinated olefin, and a fluorine-containing monomer having an ion exchange group or functional group convertible to an ion exchange group. Per the abstract general formulas for the iodine containing vinyl ether, fluorinated olefin, and the fluorine-containing monomer can be found, wherein the polymer obtained from copolymerization appear to read on the fluorinated fluorpolymer as described in instant claim 1. Asawa et al does not expressly teach crosslinking said fluoropolymer once cast into a membrane with electron beam irradiation. However, the examiner deems that it would have been obvious for an ordinarily skilled artisan at the time of the invention to crosslink using electron beam irradiation. The motivation would have been a reasonable expectation of obtaining a crosslinked membrane without residual photoinitiator, which are known additives in radiation curing, in the final product in the absence of evidence to the contrary and/or unexpected results.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanza L McClendon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1074. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sanza L McClendon

Examiner

Art Unit 1711

SMc